

hundreds more made indirect purchases that may have been affected by the conspirators' activities. Even with the exercise of due diligence, the United States could not inform the Court of the identity and ownership of all of these potential corporate victims. Based on the information available, however, the United States has identified what it believes are the top independent customers who purchased products from the defendant's employer in the United States. Those customers are listed in Attachment A.

The United States believes that the attached list should allow the Court to make an informed decision of whether it has any “financial interest in the subject matter of the controversy.” *Id.* (quoting Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 3C(1)(c)) (1972)). If the Court requires further information, however, the United States will of course do its best to supply that information.

DATED: July 29, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Howard J. Parker
Jacklin Chou Lem
May Lee Heye
E. Kate Patchen
Howard J. Parker
Trial Attorneys
U.S. Department of Justice
Antitrust Division
450 Golden Gate Avenue
Box 36046, Room 10-0101
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel: (415) 436-6660
Fax: (415) 436-6687